

# Software Tools Software Development Processes

## Part II - Lecture 2

1

# Today's Outline

- Introduction to Software Development Processes
- eXtreme Programming (XP)
- Rational Unified Process (RUP)

2

# Software Development Processes



*He who fails to plan,  
plans to fail  
(Proverb)*

3


# Software Development Process

Generic plan for a software project

1. **What** has to be done? (-> tasks/activities/steps)
  2. **Why** do a task? (-> outcomes, produced artifacts)
  3. **When** should it be done? (-> schedule)
  4. **Who** does it? (-> people, roles, responsibilities)
  5. **How** should it be done? (-> methods, standards, tools)
- Many different processes exist
  - No single process suitable for every project (no "one size fits all")
  - Using a process can improve the quality of the product

4

## Adaptive vs. Predictive Processes




- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lightweight, 'agile'</li> <li>• Control by feedback</li> <li>• Many short iterations (weeks)</li> <li>• Small scale (&lt;10 developers)</li> <li>• Face-to-face communication</li> <li>• Code- &amp; people-centric</li> <li>• Egalitarian</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heavyweight, 'traditional'</li> <li>• Control by planning</li> <li>• Few long iterations (months)</li> <li>• Large scale (&gt;30 developers)</li> <li>• Written documents</li> <li>• Rule-centric</li> <li>• Authoritarian</li> </ul> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problems:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Long-term results hardly predictable</li> <li>- Needs good project foundation</li> <li>- Cowboy-coding chaos</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problems:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inflexible with changing requirements</li> <li>- High integration and testing effort</li> <li>- 'Control freak' bureaucracy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                     |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E.g. XP</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E.g. waterfall, RUP</li> </ul>  |

5

## Agile Software Development

- Evolved in mid 1990s as part of a reaction against heavyweight methods
- Many short iterations (weeks), 'prototyping':

### Iteration



- Control by feedback: reevaluation & revision of project after each iteration

6

## eXtreme Programming (XP)

## XP Overview



*„Instead of cowboy coders we have software sheriffs: working together as a team, quick on the draw, armed with a few rules and practices that are light, concise, and effective.“*  
(James D. Wells, [extremeprogramming.org](http://extremeprogramming.org))

- XP=eXtreme Programming:  
Nomen est omen, a code-centered approach
- **XP culture:** not just about getting work done
- Set of day-to-day **best practices** for developers and managers that encourage and embody certain values
- 5 values, 12 practices/rules

8


## The 5 XP Values

### 1. Communication

- Teamwork: consistent shared view of the system
- Open office environment: developers, managers, customers
- Verbal, informal, face-to-face conversation

### 2. Feedback

- Find required changes ASAP to avoid cost
- From the customer, through early prototypes & communication
- Testing, code review, team estimates



### 3. Simplicity

- Build the simplest thing that works for today
- No work that might become unnecessary tomorrow
- Simple design easier to communicate

### 4. Courage

- To change and to scrap, "embrace change"
- Better change now (cheaper)
- Never ever give up!

### 5. Respect your teammates and your work

9

## The 12 XP Practices

### Fine scale feedback

#### 1. Pair Programming

Programming in teams of two: driver and navigator

#### 2. Planning Game: method for project planning with the customer

#### 3. Test Driven Development

- First write test cases, then program code
- For each defect, introduce new test case

#### 4. Whole Team: teamwork of customer, developer/manager

### Shared understanding

#### 5. Use an agreed Coding Standard

#### 6. Collective Code Ownership

Everybody is responsible for and can change all code

#### 7. Simple Design

#### 8. System Metaphor

Consistent, intuitive naming of program parts

10

## The 12 XP Practices

### Continuous process

#### 9. Continuous Integration

- Work with latest version
- Integrate local changes ASAP

#### 10. Refactoring

- Improve design whenever possible
- Remove clutter & unnecessary complexity

#### 11. Small Releases

### Programmer welfare

#### 12. Sustainable Pace

No Overtime - change timing or scope instead

11

## Some XP Terminology

#### • User story

- Things the system needs to do for the users
- Written on a card in a few sentences
- Should take 1-3 weeks to implement

#### • Release: running system that implements important user stories

#### • Spike

- Small proof-of-concept prototype
- Explores the feasibility of an implementation approach

#### • Iteration


- Phase of implementation, 1-3 weeks long
- Consists of tasks, each of which is 1-3 days long

#### • Project velocity: used to estimate progress

- Either #stories / time (time)
- Or time / #stories (scope)

12

## XP Workflow Overview




## XP Criticism

- Relies on **on-site customer**
  - Single point-of-failure (-> source of stress, lack of technical expertise)
  - May not be representative for all users (-> user conflicts)
- **Unstable Requirements** because of informal change requests instead of formal change management (-> rework, scope creep)
- **Lack of documentation**, e.g. tests instead of requirements documents
- **Incremental design** on-the-fly (-> more redesign effort)
- **Pair-programming** required
- **Interdependency of practices** requires drastic organizational changes
- **Scalability? Distributed development?**

14

## The Rational Unified Process (RUP)



## RUP Overview

- Extensible, customizable **process framework**
- Created by the Rational Software Corporation in the 1980s and 1990s, which was sold to IBM in 2003
- Now software process product of IBM
- IBM sells RUP tools, e.g. Rational Method Composer for authoring, configuring and publishing processes
- **Business-driven** development
- Tied to UML
- Heavyweight, i.e. of considerable size, but recent changes influenced by lightweight, agile processes

16

## 6 RUP Best Practices: The RUP ABC

### **A**dapt the process

- right-size the process to project needs
- adapt process ceremony to lifecycle phase
- continuously improve the process
- balance project plans and associated estimates with the uncertainty of a project

### **B**alance competing stakeholder priorities

- understand and prioritize business and stakeholder needs
- center development activities around stakeholder needs
- balance asset reuse with stakeholder needs

### **C**ollaborate across teams

- motivate individuals on the team to perform at their best
- encourage cross-functional collaboration
- provide effective collaborative environments

17

## The RUP ABC Cont'd

### **D**emonstrate value iteratively

- incremental value to enable early and continuous feedback
- adapt your plans
- embrace and manage change
- drive out key risks early

### **E**levate the level of abstraction

- reusing existing assets
- leverage higher-level tools, frameworks, and languages
- focus on architecture

### **F**ocus continuously on quality

- the entire team owns quality
- test early and continuously
- incrementally build test automation

18

## RUP Lifecycle

- 4 phases divided into a series of timeboxed *iterations*
- Each iteration results in an *increment* (release)
- *Disciplines* (like traditional phases) which happen with varying emphasis in every phase

### 1. Inception Phase

- Justification or business case
- Project scope, use cases, key requirements
- Candidate architectures
- Risks, preliminary project schedule, cost estimate

### 2. Elaboration Phase


- Requirements, risk factors
- System architecture (Executable Architecture Baseline)
- Construction plan (including cost and schedule estimates)

### 3. Construction Phase: building the rest of the system (longest)

### 4. Transition Phase: deployment, feedback, user training

19

## RUP Lifecycle



20

## RUP Criticism

- "High ceremony methodology"
- Bureaucratic: process for everything
- Slow: must follow process to comply
- Excessive overhead: rationale, justification, documentation, reporting, meetings, permission
- Very customizable: can be everything and nothing

But:

- RUP can be used in traditional waterfall style or in agile manner
- Example: dX process
  - Fully compliant instance of RUP
  - Identical to XP

21

2009  
YEAR

COMPSCI 732

The University of Auckland | New Zealand

## Summary

22

2009  
YEAR

COMPSCI 732

The University of Auckland | New Zealand

## Summary

- **Adaptive vs. predictive** Processes
- eXtreme Programming (XP)
  - **Agile** process focused on programming as a team
  - **Short iterations**, as much feed back as possible
  - Best practices include collective code ownership, refactoring, pair programming
- Rational Unified Process (RUP)
  - **Heavyweight** process framework
  - Phases divided into iterations, several disciplines happening simultaneously
  - Best practices include risk & change management, use of tools, models & components

23

2009  
YEAR

COMPSCI 732

The University of Auckland | New Zealand

## Quiz

1. Describe three differences between adaptive and predictive processes.
2. Name five of the XP best practices.
3. What are the characteristics of the RUP lifecycle?

24

2009  
YEAR

COMPSCI 732

The University of Auckland | New Zealand