MOSES **Supporting Operation Modes** on Smartphones

Giovanni Russello

University of Auckland 🞬



Mauro Conti University of Padua

Bruno Crispo University of Trento **Earlance Fernandes** Free University of Amsterdam

- The intro slide does not contain enough information to understand where the paper discussed in this presentation was published
- Clearly state the venue of the paper you are presenting

MOSES in a nutshell

- Android security extensions
- Aim at Bring Your Own Device policy
- Support for distinct *Security Profiles* within a device
- A policy-based framework for Android to control the application behaviour
- Dynamic switching of Security Profiles based on sensed context



• On slide 3 I have put extra emphasis on the dynamic profile switch but I do not discuss it further

MOSES Architecture



- A Security Profile makes sure that:
- Applications access data within the same profile
- Applications-to-applications communications only within the same profile



- Slide 5 has too much information
 - Figures and text do not always go together
- Better have one slide extra that overloading your audience

Policy Enforcement Module

Application to data & resources



Policy Enforcement Module

Application to Application



Policy Examples

P1: allow to CorpApp Send on Internet with scope ``Work'' perform sendOnlyTo(``www.smartinc.com'')

P2: allow to CorpApp Read on ANY
with scope ``Work''
while location.isActual(``COMMUTING'')
and !ANY.level(``VerySensitive'')

Criticism

- Does the dynamic SP switch helps or is only annoying?
- Who define the context? And what about conflicts?

- My criticism is based on a notion that I do not have explained in the presentation
- In this way, it will be quite difficult for your audience to tune in and provide some useful feedback/questions

Questions?

