## Programming in Logic: Prolog

#### Introduction Reading: Read Chapter 1 of Bratko

MB: 26 Feb 2001

CS 360 - Lecture 1

#### Overview

Administrivia

- Knowledge-Based Programming
- Running Prolog Programs
  - Prolog Knowledge Base Anatomy

# Administrivia: Contact Info

Instructor: Mike Barley
Office: Rm 253 Math/Physics Bldg
Phone: x6133
Email: barley@cs.auckland.ac.nz
Office Hours: Tues 1-3:00

## Administrivia: Assessment

2 Homework assignments: 5% each Assignment 1 Handed out: 5 March Due back: 19 March Assignment 2 Handed out: 19 March Due back: 2 April Test: 10% - 2 May Exam: 30%

# Administrivia: Which Version of Prolog?

A copy of SICStus Prolog 3.3 is on cs26. Its path is: /usr/local/bin/prolog Assignments will be marked using this Prolog. Warning: Different versions of Prolog handle certain things slightly differently. Evaluation copies of SICStus Prolog 3.8 are freely available from www.sics.se but they are only "good" for a month.

# Administrivia: Textbook

Bratko, "Programming for Artificial Intelligence", 3rd edition. Required.

# Administrivia: Prerequisites

Understand syntax, semantics, and some basic results of first-order predicate calculus (PC).
For example, DeMorgan's law applied to quantifiers: ~(∃x ∀y loves(y,x) v hates(y,x))
A) ∃x ∀y ~loves(y,x) ∧ ~hates(y,x)
B) ∀x∃y ~loves(y,x) ∧ ~hates(y,x)

Be able to translate English into PC and vice versa, e.g., no one loves everyone.
A) ∃x ∀y ~loves(x, y)
B) ~ ∃x ∀y loves(x, y)
Know the difference between:
∃x ∀y loves(x, y)
∀x∃y loves(x, y)

# Administrivia: Course Goals

Give a glimpse of the beauty of logic programming.
Give a taste of knowledge-based programming.
Develop ability to write declarative specifications of program in Prolog.
Develop ability to incrementally optimise a Prolog program.

# Administrivia: Syllabus

Pure Prolog: Chapters 1-3.3, 4
Purely Declarative Features
Prolog: Chapters 3.4, 5, 6, 7
Introducing Control Features
Advanced Features: Chapters 8.5, 9, 14
More of both

#### Declarative Programming

Declarative programming describes what to compute rather than how to compute it. E.g., blueprints for a house are declarative, they describe what to build not how to build it. Describing "what" is often much easier than describing "how" (but not always). Algorithm = Logic + Control (R. Kowalski, 1979) Logic expressions are declarative.

# Advantages of Declarative Style of Programming

Simply encode your knowledge without worrying how the knowledge will be used.
The underlying inference engine uses that knowledge to answer the user's queries.
Knowledge can be used in many ways:

Is Mary Peter's sister?
Who is Peter's sister?

- Who is whose sister?

#### Knowledge Bases

A Knowledge Base has: Knowledge in the form of: Facts (e.g., Socrates is a man) Rules (e.g., All men are mortals) An inference engine A Knowledge Base uses its facts, rules, and inference engine to answer questions. Is Socrates mortal? yes

## Logic Programming & Knowledge Bases

- Logic programming languages are one way to implement knowledge bases.
- Encode your knowledge base and your queries then the underlying inference engine will attempt to answer your queries.
- The inference engine answers your queries by building constructive proofs that your queries are entailed by the knowledge base.

#### Simple Example: Families

Define the relevant relationships:
mother, father, brother, sister, aunt, uncle, cousin, ancestor, descendant
Store the basic facts:
parents, siblings, and gender
Ask your queries:
Who is whose sister?

#### Some Rules

motherOf(M,O) :- parentOf(M,O), female(M). sisterOf(S,P) :- siblingOf(S,P), female(S). auntOf(A,N) :- sisterOf(A,X), parentOf(X,N). grandmotherOf(G,P) :motherOf(G,X), parentOf(P). ancestor(A,P) :- parentOf(A,P). ancestor(A,P) :- parentOf(A,X), ancestor(X,P).

#### Some Facts

male(john). female(mary). male(peter). parentOf(john, mary). siblingOf(mary, peter). parentOf(ann, john). parentOf(mark, ann).

## Some Queries

?- sisterOf(mary, peter).
?- sisterOf(mary, Who).
?- sisterOf(Sis, peter).
?- sisterOf(Sister, Sibling).
?- ancestorOf(A,P).

#### Their Answers

- ?- sisterOf(mary, peter).
  - yes
- ?- sisterOf(mary, Who).
  - Who = peter ? ;
  - **no**
- ?- sisterOf(Sis, peter).
   Sis = mary ?;
   no

## More Answers

- ?- sisterOf(Sister, Sibling).
  - Sibling = peter,
  - Sister = mary ? ;

no

#### Last Answer

- ?- ancestorOf(A,P).
  - A = john,
  - P = mary ?;
  - A = ann,
  - **P** = john ? ;
  - A = mark,
  - P = ann ?;
  - A = ann,
  - P = mary ?;

## **Running Prolog**

Create knowledge base using favorite editor. Type /usr/local/bin/prolog on cs26. Load that knowledge base into Prolog: ['myKnowledgeBase.pl']. Ask queries: sisterOf(X,Y). **Exit Prolog:** halt.

## Prolog Knowledge Base Anatomy

Knowledge Base
- Relations
Clauses
- Terms

#### Terms

Terms are things like atoms, numbers, variables, and structures:

- tom, 25.3, X, name(mike, barley)
In happy(P) :- paid(P, X), spend(P,Y), X>Y
happy(P), :-, paid(P, X),
spend(P,Y), X>Y, P, X, and Y are all
terms.

#### Anatomy of a Clause

All clauses terminated by full-stop(".").
Clauses have the form: *head :- body*.

#### Head of a Clause

The head may be the relation name with arguments or may be missing, Examples: likes(X,Z) := likes(X,Y), likes(Y,Z).likes(mike,X) :- true. :- write(\*\*\*).  $likes(mike,X) := true. \Leftrightarrow likes(mike,X)$ Clauses with missing bodies are called *facts*. Facts with variables are called *universal* facts.

## Body of a Clause

Body is an expression composed of terms. When the clause head is missing then the body is executed at load-time.

## Anatomy of a Relation

A relation is identified by its name and its arity (# of arguments) - name /arity

likes/2 is a different relation from likes/3

A relation is defined by the clauses whose heads match the relation id, e.g., the clause

ancestor(A, P) :- parentOf(A,

is part of the

definition of ancestor/2

MB: 26 Feb 2001

*P*).

CS 360 - Lecture 1

## Anatomy of a Query

Queries are input by the user (rather than part of the knowledge base).

Queries have clause body syntax & semantics, notably variables are existentially quantified. When query has variables, & Prolog succeeds in proving it follows from KB, Prolog displays variable bindings

used in proof.

## Quick Quiz

What do you ignore (at least initially) in declarative-style programming?What are the two main components of a knowledge-based system?What is the type of knowledge encoded in a Prolog knowledge base?

# Quick Quiz cont'd

- By what two things are relations identified?In a Prolog knowledge base, what constitutes the definition of a relation?
- What forms can a clause take?
- What are the two parts of a clause?
- What terminates a clause?
  - Give examples of different types of terms.

## Summary

- Declarative programming focuses on specifying what you want, <u>not</u> on how to get it.
- Knowledge based systems provide an underlying inference engine, the user provides (in declarative form) the knowledge and the queries.
- Prolog can be viewed as a type of knowledge based programming system.

## Summary cont'd

Prolog knowledge base = relation collection.
Relation identified by name/arity.
Relation defined by clauses whose heads agree with that id (i.e., name & number of arguments)

## Summary cont'd

Clauses have following forms:

- head :- body .
- head.
- :- body .

Queries are entered by the user (i.e., not in knowledge base) and have form of clause body.