

Asymptotics of generalized Riordan arrays

Mark C. Wilson

Department of Computer Science
University of Auckland

2005-06-08



- 1 Basic definitions
- 2 Asymptotics
- 3 Extensions

Generalized Riordan arrays: their time has come

- Generalized Riordan arrays are ubiquitous, but not always recognized in the literature. We should use them more systematically, as a unifying device.

Generalized Riordan arrays: their time has come

- Generalized Riordan arrays are ubiquitous, but not always recognized in the literature. We should use them more systematically, as a unifying device.
- They fit into a much bigger picture of multivariate GF asymptotics, lattice paths, Lagrange inversion, and the kernel method.

Generalized Riordan arrays: their time has come

- Generalized Riordan arrays are ubiquitous, but not always recognized in the literature. We should use them more systematically, as a unifying device.
- They fit into a much bigger picture of multivariate GF asymptotics, lattice paths, Lagrange inversion, and the kernel method.
- They provide an explicit low-dimensional introduction to the general mvGF asymptotics project of Pemantle et al.

Generalized Riordan arrays: their time has come

- Generalized Riordan arrays are ubiquitous, but not always recognized in the literature. We should use them more systematically, as a unifying device.
- They fit into a much bigger picture of multivariate GF asymptotics, lattice paths, Lagrange inversion, and the kernel method.
- They provide an explicit low-dimensional introduction to the general mvGF asymptotics project of Pemantle et al.
- Their asymptotics are, in most cases, routinely derived, yet some researchers still use complicated exact formulae that yield no insight.

Generalized Riordan arrays: their time has come

- Generalized Riordan arrays are ubiquitous, but not always recognized in the literature. We should use them more systematically, as a unifying device.
- They fit into a much bigger picture of multivariate GF asymptotics, lattice paths, Lagrange inversion, and the kernel method.
- They provide an explicit low-dimensional introduction to the general mvGF asymptotics project of Pemantle et al.
- Their asymptotics are, in most cases, routinely derived, yet some researchers still use complicated exact formulae that yield no insight.
- To find out more, read preprint “Twenty combinatorial examples of asymptotics from multivariate generating functions”, (soon to be submitted to SIAM Review).

Important background notation

- Multivariate sequence $a : \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with multivariate generating function $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} := z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d}$.
- When $d = 2$, we write $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.
- Radius of convergence of power series f denoted by **rad** f ; order of vanishing at 0 is **ord** f .

Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** (RA) is an infinite lower triangular complex matrix $M = (a_{nk})_{n \geq 0, k \geq 0}$ having bivariate generating function

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 - wv(z)}; \quad \text{ord}(\phi) = 0, \text{ord}(v) \geq 1.$$

Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** (RA) is an infinite lower triangular complex matrix $M = (a_{nk})_{n \geq 0, k \geq 0}$ having bivariate generating function

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 - wv(z)}; \quad \text{ord}(\phi) = 0, \text{ord}(v) \geq 1.$$

- Thus $a_{nk} = [z^n] \phi(z) v(z)^k$; columns 0 and 1 determine M .

Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** (RA) is an infinite lower triangular complex matrix $M = (a_{nk})_{n \geq 0, k \geq 0}$ having bivariate generating function

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 - wv(z)}; \quad \text{ord}(\phi) = 0, \text{ord}(v) \geq 1.$$

- Thus $a_{nk} = [z^n] \phi(z) v(z)^k$; columns 0 and 1 determine M .
- RAs with $\text{ord}(v) = 1$ (**proper** RAs) form a group under matrix multiplication. They are heavily used, especially in Firenze, for simplifying combinatorial sums.

Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** (RA) is an infinite lower triangular complex matrix $M = (a_{nk})_{n \geq 0, k \geq 0}$ having bivariate generating function

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n, k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 - wv(z)}; \quad \text{ord}(\phi) = 0, \text{ord}(v) \geq 1.$$

- Thus $a_{nk} = [z^n] \phi(z) v(z)^k$; columns 0 and 1 determine M .
- RAs with $\text{ord}(v) = 1$ (**proper** RAs) form a group under matrix multiplication. They are heavily used, especially in Firenze, for simplifying combinatorial sums.
- For us it is just as easy to consider generalized RAs (**GRAs**), where v need not vanish at 0. These correspond to non-triangular matrices.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.
- Sprugnoli/Merlini/Verri: bijection with certain generating trees; waiting patterns for a printer, the tennis ball problem.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.
- Sprugnoli/Merlini/Verri: bijection with certain generating trees; waiting patterns for a printer, the tennis ball problem.
- Banderier/Flajolet: certain directed walks on the line.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.
- Sprugnoli/Merlini/Verri: bijection with certain generating trees; waiting patterns for a printer, the tennis ball problem.
- Banderier/Flajolet: certain directed walks on the line.
- Banderier/Merlini: directed walks on the line with infinite set of jumps.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.
- Sprugnoli/Merlini/Verri: bijection with certain generating trees; waiting patterns for a printer, the tennis ball problem.
- Banderier/Flajolet: certain directed walks on the line.
- Banderier/Merlini: directed walks on the line with infinite set of jumps.
- Prodinger: tutorial on the kernel method.

Some examples from recent literature

- Famous number triangles (Pascal, ballot numbers, ...).
- PGFS of sums of IID random variables; discrete renewal equation.
- Counting various kinds of restricted words/strings, particularly in computational biology.
- Sprugnoli/Merlini/Verri: bijection with certain generating trees; waiting patterns for a printer, the tennis ball problem.
- Banderier/Flajolet: certain directed walks on the line.
- Banderier/Merlini: directed walks on the line with infinite set of jumps.
- Prodinger: tutorial on the kernel method.
- Flaxman/Harrow/Sorkin: maximum number of distinct subsequences.

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.
- We let a_{nk} denote the number of **nonnegative** walks from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.
- We let a_{nk} denote the number of **nonnegative** walks from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.
- F generates a GRA if

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.
- We let a_{nk} denote the number of **nonnegative** walks from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.
- F generates a GRA if
 - ▶ $s_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, which includes the classical cases
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ (Pascal triangle)
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Delannoy paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 1)\}$ (Dyck paths/ballot numbers)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Motzkin paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (2, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Schröder paths)

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.
- We let a_{nk} denote the number of **nonnegative** walks from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.
- F generates a GRA if
 - ▶ $s_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, which includes the classical cases
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ (Pascal triangle)
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Delannoy paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 1)\}$ (Dyck paths/ballot numbers)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Motzkin paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (2, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Schröder paths)
 - ▶ $r_i = 1, \max s_i = 1$ (corresponding to walks on \mathbb{N} with steps given by the s_i).

Relation with lattice walks

- Consider time- and space-homogeneous walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined by a finite set $E = \{(r_i, s_i) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of jumps.
- We let a_{nk} denote the number of **nonnegative** walks from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k$.
- F generates a GRA if
 - ▶ $s_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, which includes the classical cases
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ (Pascal triangle)
 - ★ $E = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Delannoy paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 1)\}$ (Dyck paths/ballot numbers)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Motzkin paths)
 - ★ $E = \{(1, -1), (2, 0), (1, 1)\}$ (Schröder paths)
 - ▶ $r_i = 1, \max s_i = 1$ (corresponding to walks on \mathbb{N} with steps given by the s_i).
- In fact every nonnegative proper Riordan array arises in this way with $r_i = 1$, provided we allow E to be infinite.

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.
- The **apex** is the coordinatewise minimum of the shifts s along with 0. Bousquet-Mélou & Petkovšek gave an explicit formula in the case $d = 2$, and showed that:

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.
- The **apex** is the coordinatewise minimum of the shifts s along with 0. Bousquet-Mélou & Petkovšek gave an explicit formula in the case $d = 2$, and showed that:
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, 0)$, then F is rational;

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.
- The **apex** is the coordinatewise minimum of the shifts s along with 0. Bousquet-Mélou & Petkovšek gave an explicit formula in the case $d = 2$, and showed that:
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, 0)$, then F is rational;
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, -p)$ then F is algebraic;

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.
- The **apex** is the coordinatewise minimum of the shifts s along with 0. Bousquet-Mélou & Petkovšek gave an explicit formula in the case $d = 2$, and showed that:
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, 0)$, then F is rational;
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, -p)$ then F is algebraic;
 - ▶ if the apex has two negative coordinates, F can be non-holonomic.

Relation with the kernel method

- Let $a_n = \sum c_s a_{n-s}$ be a constant coefficient recurrence. Even nice boundary conditions can yield nasty generating functions.
- The **apex** is the coordinatewise minimum of the shifts s along with 0. Bousquet-Mélou & Petkovšek gave an explicit formula in the case $d = 2$, and showed that:
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, 0)$, then F is rational;
 - ▶ if the apex is $(0, -p)$ then F is algebraic;
 - ▶ if the apex has two negative coordinates, F can be non-holonomic.
- Most examples in the literature have apex $(0, 0)$ or $(0, -1)$. This includes all walk examples above, plus everything in Prodinger's "Kernel method: a collection of examples". In this case F is always a GRA.

Equivalent ways of describing the Riordan domain

- generating function of given type;
- exact quasi-power representation, generalized Lagrange inversion;
- triangular arrays with “up and to the right” recurrences;
- directed lattice paths with small positive jumps;
- numbers of nodes in certain generating trees;
- constant coefficient linear recurrences with apex $(0, 0)$ or $(0, -1)$;
solutions via the kernel method where only one large branch arises.

Multivariate asymptotics background and summary

- Ongoing work (“the mvGF project”) aims at improving multivariate coefficient extraction methods. See www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/.

Multivariate asymptotics background and summary

- Ongoing work (“the mvGF project”) aims at improving multivariate coefficient extraction methods. See www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/.
- The analysis uses residue theory near the singular set \mathcal{V} of F .

Multivariate asymptotics background and summary

- Ongoing work (“the mvGF project”) aims at improving multivariate coefficient extraction methods. See www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/.
- The analysis uses residue theory near the singular set \mathcal{V} of F .
- Asymptotics in a fixed direction λ are determined by the geometry of \mathcal{V} near a finite set, contrib_λ , of **contributing critical points**.

Multivariate asymptotics background and summary

- Ongoing work (“the mvGF project”) aims at improving multivariate coefficient extraction methods. See www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/.
- The analysis uses residue theory near the singular set \mathcal{V} of F .
- Asymptotics in a fixed direction λ are determined by the geometry of \mathcal{V} near a finite set, contrib_λ , of **contributing critical points**.
- contrib_λ can be computed by algebraic-geometric criteria.

Multivariate asymptotics background and summary

- Ongoing work (“the mvGF project”) aims at improving multivariate coefficient extraction methods. See www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/.
- The analysis uses residue theory near the singular set \mathcal{V} of F .
- Asymptotics in a fixed direction λ are determined by the geometry of \mathcal{V} near a finite set, contrib_λ , of **contributing critical points**.
- contrib_λ can be computed by algebraic-geometric criteria.
- In particular if $F(z, w) = G(z, w)/H(z, w)$, then asymptotics for $a_{\lambda k, k}$ are controlled by a point solving $zH_z = \lambda wH_w, H = 0$.

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then
 - ▶ our method derives (uniform) asymptotics for all possible λ ;

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then
 - ▶ our method derives (uniform) asymptotics for all possible λ ;
 - ▶ contrib_λ is always a singleton and lies in the first quadrant;

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then
 - ▶ our method derives (uniform) asymptotics for all possible λ ;
 - ▶ contrib_λ is always a singleton and lies in the first quadrant;
 - ▶ if $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$ then all contributing points of \mathcal{V} are **smooth poles** of F , no matter what the singularity type of v is at $z = \text{rad } v$;

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then
 - ▶ our method derives (uniform) asymptotics for all possible λ ;
 - ▶ contrib_λ is always a singleton and lies in the first quadrant;
 - ▶ if $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$ then all contributing points of \mathcal{V} are **smooth poles** of F , no matter what the singularity type of v is at $z = \text{rad } v$;
 - ▶ if $\text{rad } \phi < \text{rad } v$ then we also have a contributing double point at $x = \text{rad } \phi, y = 1/v(x)$.

How do GRAs fit into the mvGF framework

- They are a fairly simple 2-dimensional case, where formulae simplify considerably.
- When v is **aperiodic** with nonnegative coefficients then
 - ▶ our method derives (uniform) asymptotics for all possible λ ;
 - ▶ contrib_λ is always a singleton and lies in the first quadrant;
 - ▶ if $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$ then all contributing points of \mathcal{V} are **smooth poles** of F , no matter what the singularity type of v is at $z = \text{rad } v$;
 - ▶ if $\text{rad } \phi < \text{rad } v$ then we also have a contributing double point at $x = \text{rad } \phi, y = 1/v(x)$.
- The aperiodicity constraint can be removed with minor modifications, but nonnegativity is essential.

Recall: generic meromorphic asymptotics in dimension 2

Theorem

- Let $F = G/H$ be meromorphic in a neighbourhood of the strictly minimal point $P = (z, w) \in \mathcal{V}$.

Recall: generic meromorphic asymptotics in dimension 2

Theorem

- Let $F = G/H$ be meromorphic in a neighbourhood of the strictly minimal point $P = (z, w) \in \mathcal{V}$.
- If P is smooth, then there is a complete asymptotic expansion

$$a_{\lambda k, k} \sim (z^\lambda w)^{-k} k^{-1/2} \sum_{l \geq 0} b_l(\lambda) k^{-l},$$

valid in the direction $\lambda := (zH_z)/(wH_w)$, and uniform as (z, w) varies over a compact set of such points.

Recall: generic meromorphic asymptotics in dimension 2

Theorem

- Let $F = G/H$ be meromorphic in a neighbourhood of the strictly minimal point $P = (z, w) \in \mathcal{V}$.
- If P is smooth, then there is a complete asymptotic expansion

$$a_{\lambda k, k} \sim (z^\lambda w)^{-k} k^{-1/2} \sum_{l \geq 0} b_l(\lambda) k^{-l},$$

valid in the direction $\lambda := (zH_z)/(wH_w)$, and uniform as (z, w) varies over a compact set of such points.

- If P is a double point, then there is a complete asymptotic expansion

$$a_{\lambda k, k} \sim (z^\lambda w)^{-k} b_0(\lambda)$$

uniform in compact subcones of the interior of $K(P)$. On the boundary, the asymptotic is smaller by a factor of 2.

Simplification of asymptotic formulae in GRA case

- In the smooth case, the leading term is

$$b_0 = \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi s\sigma^2(v; x)}} \quad \text{where } \mu(v; x) = \lambda.$$

Simplification of asymptotic formulae in GRA case

- In the smooth case, the leading term is

$$b_0 = \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi s\sigma^2(v; x)}} \quad \text{where } \mu(v; x) = \lambda.$$

- Here

$$\mu(v; x) = \frac{xv'(x)}{v(x)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma^2(v; x) = \frac{x^2v''(x)}{v(x)} + \mu(v; x) - \mu(v; x)^2 = x\mu'(v; x)$$

are the mean and variance of the random variable whose PGF is $y \mapsto v(xy)/v(x)$.

Simplification of asymptotic formulae in GRA case

- In the smooth case, the leading term is

$$b_0 = \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi s\sigma^2(v; x)}} \quad \text{where } \mu(v; x) = \lambda.$$

- Here

$$\mu(v; x) = \frac{xv'(x)}{v(x)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma^2(v; x) = \frac{x^2v''(x)}{v(x)} + \mu(v; x) - \mu(v; x)^2 = x\mu'(v; x)$$

are the mean and variance of the random variable whose PGF is $y \mapsto v(xy)/v(x)$.

- In the double point case (where ϕ has a simple pole), we have

$$b_0(\lambda) = \frac{-\text{Res}(\phi; \rho)}{\rho}.$$

“Explicit” GRA asymptotics: globally smooth case

Theorem

- Let F be an aperiodic nonnegative GRA with $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$. Define $\Delta = [\text{ord } v, \text{deg } v]$. If $\lambda \notin \Delta$ then $a_{\lambda k, k} = 0$.

“Explicit” GRA asymptotics: globally smooth case

Theorem

- Let F be an aperiodic nonnegative GRA with $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$. Define $\Delta = [\text{ord } v, \text{deg } v]$. If $\lambda \notin \Delta$ then $a_{\lambda k, k} = 0$.
- Otherwise there is a unique solution $0 < z_\lambda < \text{rad } v$ to the equation $\mu(v; z) = \lambda$. We have

$$a_{\lambda k, k} \sim [z_\lambda^\lambda v(z_\lambda)]^{-k} k^{-1/2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_l(\lambda) k^{-l}$$

uniformly in λ away from the boundary of Δ .

“Explicit” GRA asymptotics: globally smooth case

Theorem

- Let F be an aperiodic nonnegative GRA with $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$. Define $\Delta = [\text{ord } v, \text{deg } v]$. If $\lambda \notin \Delta$ then $a_{\lambda k, k} = 0$.
- Otherwise there is a unique solution $0 < z_\lambda < \text{rad } v$ to the equation $\mu(v; z) = \lambda$. We have

$$a_{\lambda k, k} \sim [z_\lambda^\lambda v(z_\lambda)]^{-k} k^{-1/2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_l(\lambda) k^{-l}$$

uniformly in λ away from the boundary of Δ .

- The $b_l(\lambda)$ are explicitly computable in terms of derivatives of ϕ and v . The leading coefficient is always

$$b_0(\lambda) = \frac{\phi(z_\lambda)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(v; z_\lambda)}}.$$

Examples: lattice paths

Delannoy paths

- Here $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$ and above analysis applies.

Motzkin paths

Examples: lattice paths

Delannoy paths

- Here $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$ and above analysis applies.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda$.

Motzkin paths

Examples: lattice paths

Delannoy paths

- Here $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$ and above analysis applies.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda$.
- In particular, the number of central Delannoy paths ($\lambda = 1$) is asymptotically $(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^k \frac{\cosh(\frac{1}{4} \log 2)}{\sqrt{\pi k}}$.

Motzkin paths

Examples: lattice paths

Delannoy paths

- Here $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$ and above analysis applies.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda$.
- In particular, the number of central Delannoy paths ($\lambda = 1$) is asymptotically $(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^k \frac{\cosh(\frac{1}{4} \log 2)}{\sqrt{\pi k}}$.

Motzkin paths

- Here $v(z) = z\phi(z) = (1 - z - \sqrt{1 - 2z - 3z^2})/(2z)$.

Examples: lattice paths

Delannoy paths

- Here $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$ and above analysis applies.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda$.
- In particular, the number of central Delannoy paths ($\lambda = 1$) is asymptotically $(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^k \frac{\cosh(\frac{1}{4} \log 2)}{\sqrt{\pi k}}$.

Motzkin paths

- Here $v(z) = z\phi(z) = (1 - z - \sqrt{1 - 2z - 3z^2})/(2z)$.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $1 - 2z - 3z^2 = \lambda^2$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{4\lambda^2 - 3}/(3\lambda)$.

Lagrange inversion

- Suppose that $v(z) = zA(v(z))$ with $\text{ord } A = 0$. As usual we have for each formal power series ψ

$$n[z^n]\psi(v(z)) = [y^n]y\psi'(y)A(y)^n = [x^n y^n] \frac{y\psi'(y)}{1 - xA(y)}.$$

Lagrange inversion

- Suppose that $v(z) = zA(v(z))$ with $\text{ord } A = 0$. As usual we have for each formal power series ψ

$$n[z^n]\psi(v(z)) = [y^n]y\psi'(y)A(y)^n = [x^n y^n] \frac{y\psi'(y)}{1 - xA(y)}.$$

- Assume that A is nonnegative and aperiodic, and analytic at 0. We extract asymptotics in the direction $\lambda = 1$, first solving $\mu(A; y_0) = 1$.

Lagrange inversion

- Suppose that $v(z) = zA(v(z))$ with $\text{ord } A = 0$. As usual we have for each formal power series ψ

$$n[z^n]\psi(v(z)) = [y^n]y\psi'(y)A(y)^n = [x^n y^n] \frac{y\psi'(y)}{1 - xA(y)}.$$

- Assume that A is nonnegative and aperiodic, and analytic at 0. We extract asymptotics in the direction $\lambda = 1$, first solving $\mu(A; y_0) = 1$.
- Provided $\text{rad } \psi > y_0$, we obtain from above

$$[z^n]\psi(v(z)) \sim A'(y_0)^n n^{-3/2} \sum_{l \geq 0} b_l n^{-l}$$

where

$$b_0 = \frac{y_0 \psi'(y_0)}{\sqrt{2\pi A''(y_0)/A(y_0)}}.$$

“Implicit” GRA asymptotics: globally smooth case

- We can just translate the explicit asymptotics using the Lagrangian form of v .

Theorem

Let (v, ϕ) determine a proper RA, and let $A(y)$ be uniquely defined by $v(z) = zA(v(z))$. If $\deg A > 1$ then

$$[z^n]v(z)^k \sim v^{k-n} A^n \frac{k\phi(v/A(v))}{\sqrt{2\pi n^3 \sigma^2(A; v)}} \quad \text{where } \mu(A; v) = 1 - k/n.$$

Resymmetrizing: Delannoy paths continued

- Here we have $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$.

Resymmetrizing: Delannoy paths continued

- Here we have $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda = (D-k)/n$ where $D = \sqrt{n^2+k^2}$, the distance from the origin.

Resymmetrizing: Delannoy paths continued

- Here we have $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda = (D-k)/n$ where $D = \sqrt{n^2+k^2}$, the distance from the origin.
- After some algebra we obtain the leading term asymptotic

$$a_{nk} \sim \frac{n^n k^k}{(D-k)^n (D-n)^k} \sqrt{\frac{nk}{2\pi D(n+k-D)^2}}$$

uniformly for every a, b such that $0 < a \leq n/k \leq b < \infty$.

Resymmetrizing: Delannoy paths continued

- Here we have $v(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$, so $\text{rad } \phi = \text{rad } v$.
- contrib_λ is the minimal positive real solution of $2z = \lambda(1-z^2)$. Thus $z_\lambda = \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - \lambda = (D-k)/n$ where $D = \sqrt{n^2+k^2}$, the distance from the origin.
- After some algebra we obtain the leading term asymptotic

$$a_{nk} \sim \frac{n^n k^k}{(D-k)^n (D-n)^k} \sqrt{\frac{nk}{2\pi D(n+k-D)^2}}$$

uniformly for every a, b such that $0 < a \leq n/k \leq b < \infty$.

- The resymmetrizing performed above is not yet automated.

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - ▶ **Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - ▶ **Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;
 - ▶ **hitting time subgroup**: $\phi(z) = \mu(v; z)$ or $Z(y) = A'(y)$.

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - ▶ **Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;
 - ▶ **hitting time subgroup**: $\phi(z) = \mu(v; z)$ or $Z(y) = A'(y)$.
- In these cases $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$, so smooth point analysis applies.

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - ▶ **Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;
 - ▶ **hitting time subgroup**: $\phi(z) = \mu(v; z)$ or $Z(y) = A'(y)$.
- In these cases $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$, so smooth point analysis applies.
- There is a duality between the implicit and explicit formulae that I don't yet completely understand.

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - ▶ **associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - ▶ **Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;
 - ▶ **hitting time subgroup**: $\phi(z) = \mu(v; z)$ or $Z(y) = A'(y)$.
- In these cases $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$, so smooth point analysis applies.
- There is a duality between the implicit and explicit formulae that I don't yet completely understand.

Simpler formulae for subgroups of the Riordan group

- Most RAs in the literature fall into one of three subgroups:
 - associated subgroup**: $\phi = 1$ or $Z = 0$;
 - Bell subgroup**: $v(z) = z\phi(z)$ or $A(y) = 1 + yZ(y)$;
 - hitting time subgroup**: $\phi(z) = \mu(v; z)$ or $Z(y) = A'(y)$.
- In these cases $\text{rad } \phi \geq \text{rad } v$, so smooth point analysis applies.
- There is a duality between the implicit and explicit formulae that I don't yet completely understand.

Asymptotics for subgroups of the Riordan group

Subgroup	Explicit: $\mu(v; x) = n/k$	Implicit: $\mu(A; y) = 1 - k/n$
Bell	$x^{-n} v^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k \sigma^2(v; x)}}$	$y^{k-n} A^{n+1} \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi n^3 \sigma^2(A; y)}}$
Hitting time	$x^{-n} v^k \frac{n}{\sqrt{2\pi k^3 \sigma^2(v; x)}}$	$v^{k-n} A^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n \sigma^2(A; v)}}$
Associated	$x^{-n} v^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k \sigma^2(v; x)}}$	$v^{k-n} A^n \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi n^3 \sigma^2(A; v)}}$

GRA asymptotics: modifications in the double point case

- Suppose F is a generalized aperiodic nonnegative Riordan array and $\rho := \text{rad } \phi < \text{rad } v$.
- Here $\Delta = [\text{ord } v, \infty)$. Smooth points yield asymptotics only for an initial subinterval $(\text{ord } v, \beta)$ of directions. The other directions are all given by the double point at $x = \rho, y = 1/\rho$.
- If ρ is a pole of ϕ then our methods apply directly.
- Otherwise we may need to rederive results in each case.

Maximum number of distinct subsequences

- Let a_{nk} be the maximum number of distinct subsequences for a string of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

Maximum number of distinct subsequences

- Let a_{nk} be the maximum number of distinct subsequences for a string of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.
- Flaxman, Harrow, Sorkin (EJC, 2004) show that

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{1}{1 - z - zw(1 - z^d)}.$$

This is of Riordan type with $\phi(z) = 1/(1 - z)$ and $v(z) = z + z^2 + \dots + z^d$. Here $\text{rad } \phi = 1 < \infty = \text{rad } v$ and ϕ has a simple pole at 1.

Maximum number of distinct subsequences

- Let a_{nk} be the maximum number of distinct subsequences for a string of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.
- Flaxman, Harrow, Sorkin (EJC, 2004) show that

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{1}{1 - z - zw(1 - z^d)}.$$

This is of Riordan type with $\phi(z) = 1/(1 - z)$ and $v(z) = z + z^2 + \dots + z^d$. Here $\text{rad } \phi = 1 < \infty = \text{rad } v$ and ϕ has a simple pole at 1.

- Smooth points with $x \in (0, 1/d)$ yield asymptotics up to $n/k = (d + 1)/2$.

Maximum number of distinct subsequences

- Let a_{nk} be the maximum number of distinct subsequences for a string of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.
- Flaxman, Harrow, Sorkin (EJC, 2004) show that

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{1}{1 - z - zw(1 - z^d)}.$$

This is of Riordan type with $\phi(z) = 1/(1 - z)$ and $v(z) = z + z^2 + \dots + z^d$. Here $\text{rad } \phi = 1 < \infty = \text{rad } v$ and ϕ has a simple pole at 1.

- Smooth points with $x \in (0, 1/d)$ yield asymptotics up to $n/k = (d + 1)/2$.
- The double point $x = 1$ yields asymptotics $a_{nk} \sim d^k$ for all $\lambda > (d + 1)/2$, and $a_{nk} \sim d^k/2$ for $\lambda = (d + 1)/2$.

Maximum number of distinct subsequences

- Let a_{nk} be the maximum number of distinct subsequences for a string of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.
- Flaxman, Harrow, Sorkin (EJC, 2004) show that

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} z^n w^k = \frac{1}{1 - z - zw(1 - z^d)}.$$

This is of Riordan type with $\phi(z) = 1/(1 - z)$ and $v(z) = z + z^2 + \dots + z^d$. Here $\text{rad } \phi = 1 < \infty = \text{rad } v$ and ϕ has a simple pole at 1.

- Smooth points with $x \in (0, 1/d)$ yield asymptotics up to $n/k = (d + 1)/2$.
- The double point $x = 1$ yields asymptotics $a_{nk} \sim d^k$ for all $\lambda > (d + 1)/2$, and $a_{nk} \sim d^k/2$ for $\lambda = (d + 1)/2$.
- In fact $a_{nk} = d^k$ for $n/k \geq d$, but $a_{nk} < d^k$ for $n/k < d$.

Ideas for further work

- Comparing our variable- k with fixed- k results above, it appears that uniform asymptotics hold generally for $k/n \in [0, \varepsilon]$.
- In the case $\phi = 1$, Drmota has already proved this. We have not yet tried to do so in general. We would use results of Lladser.
- Completely clarify the duality of asymptotics, and prove the Lagrange inversion formula using Riordan group automorphisms.
- Find naturally occurring cases not covered by the above results, and extend the theory to deal with them.

Removing the hypotheses

- If v is periodic, contrib will have more than one point, and cancellation will yield periodic asymptotics. Modifications to the above are routine.
- Strange behaviour can occur if we remove the nonnegativity hypothesis, as exemplified by $v = \phi = 1/(3 - 3z + z^2)$:
 - ▶ even in the aperiodic case, there may be more than one contributing point;
 - ▶ contributing points need not be on the boundary of the domain of convergence;
 - ▶ σ^2 can be zero at a contributing point (Airy phenomena).