

# Coefficient Extraction From Multivariate Generating Functions

Mark C. Wilson

May 10, 2005

- 1 Coefficient extraction from univariate GFs
  - Exact methods
  - Asymptotic methods
- 2 Coefficient extraction from multivariate GFs
- 3 Combinatorial examples
- 4 Analytic details
- 5 Comments

# Table lookup

- Applying the basic operations ( $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $d/dz$ ,  $\int \dots$ ) to known series such as  $(1 - z)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n$  yields a table of known results.
- Linear combinations of these can often be used for simple problems to obtain the desired result (we do this a lot in COMPSCI 720).
- Standard example: GF for average number of comparisons of quicksort on size  $n$  permutation is

$$F(z) = \frac{2}{(1-z)^2} \left( \log \frac{1}{1-z} - z \right).$$

Thus by lookup we have  $a_n = 2(n+1)H_n - 4n$ ,  
 $H_n := \sum_{j=1}^n 1/j$ .

- Problems: table may be incomplete; decomposition of GF may be unclear; exact formulae are often too complicated to be useful anyway.

# Implicit functions: Lagrange inversion

- A functional equation of the form  $f(z) = z\phi(f(z))$  has a unique solution provided  $\phi'(0) \neq 0$ . In this case we have

$$[z^n]\psi(f(z)) = [y^n]y\psi'(y)\phi(y)^n = [x^n y^n] \frac{y\psi'(y)}{1 - x\phi(y)}.$$

Easy proofs all use the Cauchy integral formula. Formal power series proofs exist but are not very natural.

- In particular  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and, with  $v = \phi(z)$ ,  $\psi(z) = z^k$ ,

$$n[z^n]v^k = k[v^{-k}]z^{-n}.$$

- Example: degree-restricted trees.

# Degree-restricted trees example

- Let  $0 \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . We consider the combinatorial class  $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$  of ordered plane trees with the outdegree of each node restricted to belong to  $\Omega$ .
- Examples:  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$  gives paths;  $\Omega = \{0, 2\}$  gives binary trees;  $\Omega = \{0, t\}$  gives  $t$ -ary trees;  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$  gives general ordered trees.
- Let  $T_\Omega(z)$  be the enumerating GF of this class. The symbolic method immediately gives the equation

$$T_\Omega(z) = z\phi(T_\Omega(z))$$

where  $\phi(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} x^\omega$ .

- Lagrange inversion is tailor-made for this situation. For  $\Omega$  as above, we obtain an answer involving binomial coefficients.

# Basic complex-analytic method

- (Cauchy integral formula) Let  $D$  be the open disc of convergence,  $\Gamma$  its boundary,  $U$  a simply connected set containing  $D$ . Then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C z^{-n-1} F(z) dz$$

where  $C$  is a simple closed curve in  $U$ .

- Usually (if all  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $(a_n)$  is not periodic), there is a unique singularity  $\rho$  of smallest modulus on  $\Gamma$ , and  $\rho$  is positive real. WLOG  $\rho = 1$ .
- Further progress depends on singularities of  $F$ . In one variable, not many types are possible, and there are methods for each.
  - If  $\rho$  is **large** (essential), use the **saddle point method**.
  - If  $\rho$  is a pole or algebraic/logarithmic and  $F$  can be continued past  $\Gamma$ , use **singularity analysis**.
  - If  $\Gamma$  is a natural boundary, use **Darboux' method** or **circle method** or . . . .

## “Singularity analysis” (Flajolet-Odlyzko 1990)

- Assume  $F$  is analytic in a Camembert region.
- Choose an appropriate (“Hankel”) contour approaching the singularity at distance  $1/n$ .
- This yields asymptotics for  $[z^n]F(z)$  where  $F$  looks like  $(1-z)^\alpha(\log 1/(1-z))^\beta$ . “Looks like” means  $o, O, \Theta$ .
- Asymptotics for  $F(z)$  near  $z = 1$  yields asymptotics for  $[z^n]F(z)$  automatically. Very useful: singularities in applications are mostly poles, logarithmic, or square-root.
- If  $\rho$  is a pole then a simpler contour can be used, along with Cauchy residue theorem.

# Darboux' method

- Assume  $F$  is of class  $C^k$  on  $\Gamma$ . Change variable  $z = \exp(i\theta)$ , integrate by parts  $k$  times. Get

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi(in)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta}.$$

- Analyze the oscillating integral using Fourier techniques (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma).
- Can't be used for poles or if  $f$  has infinitely many singularities on  $\Gamma$ . In that case, sometimes the **circle method** of analytic number theory works.



# Saddle point method

- Used for “large” (essential) singularities (for example, entire function at  $\infty$ ). Example: Stirling’s formula.
- Cauchy integral formula on a circle  $C_R$  of radius  $R$  gives  $a_n \leq (2\pi)^{-1} f(R)/R^n$ .
- Choosing  $R = n$  minimizes this upper bound. We find that the integral over  $C_R$  has most mass near  $z = n$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi n^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(-in\theta + \log f(ne^{i\theta})) d\theta \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi n^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(-n\theta^2/2) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now **Laplace’s method** gives asymptotics of the Laplace-like integral.

## Some references for this section

- Univariate GF asymptotics — Flajolet and Sedgewick, Analytic Combinatorics (book in progress, [algo.inria.fr](http://algo.inria.fr))
- Pemantle-Wilson mvGF project  
[www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF](http://www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF)
- M. Wilson, Asymptotics of generalized Riordan arrays, to appear in DMTCS;
- R. Pemantle and M. Wilson, Twenty combinatorial examples of asymptotics derived from multivariate generating functions, submitted to SIAM Review.
- Above two appers are CDMTCS reports and also available from my webpage.

## Multivariate coefficient extraction — some quotations

- (E. Bender, SIAM Review 1974) Practically nothing is known about asymptotics for recursions in two variables even when a GF is available. Techniques for obtaining asymptotics from bivariate GFs would be quite useful.

## Multivariate coefficient extraction — some quotations

- (E. Bender, SIAM Review 1974) Practically nothing is known about asymptotics for recursions in two variables even when a GF is available. Techniques for obtaining asymptotics from bivariate GFs would be quite useful.
- (A. Odlyzko, Handbook of Combinatorics, 1995) A major difficulty in estimating the coefficients of mvGFs is that the geometry of the problem is far more difficult. . . . Even rational multivariate functions are not easy to deal with.

## Multivariate coefficient extraction — some quotations

- (E. Bender, SIAM Review 1974) Practically nothing is known about asymptotics for recursions in two variables even when a GF is available. Techniques for obtaining asymptotics from bivariate GFs would be quite useful.
- (A. Odlyzko, Handbook of Combinatorics, 1995) A major difficulty in estimating the coefficients of mvGFs is that the geometry of the problem is far more difficult. . . . Even rational multivariate functions are not easy to deal with.
- (P. Flajolet/R. Sedgewick, Analytic Combinatorics Ch 9 draft, 2005) Roughly, we regard here a bivariate GF as a collection of univariate GFs . . . .

# Our project

- Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction.
- Thoroughly investigate coefficient extraction for meromorphic  $F(\mathbf{z}) := F(z_1, \dots, z_{d+1})$  (pole singularities). Amazingly little is known **even about rational  $F$  in 2 variables**.
- Goal 1: improve over all previous work in generality, ease of use, symmetry, computational effectiveness, uniformity of asymptotics. Create a theory!
- Goal 2: establish mvGFs as an area worth studying in its own right, a meeting place for many different areas, a common language. I am recruiting!

## Notation and basic taxonomy

- $F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum a_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}} = G(\mathbf{z})/H(\mathbf{z})$  meromorphic in nontrivial polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .
- $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{z} \mid H(\mathbf{z}) = 0\}$  the **singular variety** of  $F$ .
- $T(\mathbf{z}), D(\mathbf{z})$  the torus, polydisc centred at  $\mathbf{0}$  and containing  $\mathbf{z}$ .
- A point of  $\mathcal{V}$  is **strictly minimal** (with respect to the usual partial order on moduli of coordinates) if  $\mathcal{V} \cap D(\mathbf{z}) = \{\mathbf{z}\}$ .  
When  $F \geq 0$ , such points lie in the positive real orthant.
- A minimal point can be a **smooth** (manifold), **multiple** (locally product of  $n$  smooth factors  $H_i$ ) or **bad** (all other types), depending on local geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- For smooth point,  $\text{dir}(\mathbf{z})$  is direction of  $(z_1 H_1, \dots, z_d H_d)$  (gradient of  $H$  in log-coordinates). Always positive if  $\mathbf{z}$  minimal.

## Brief outline of methods

- Use Cauchy integral formula in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ ; contour changes (homology/residue theory); convert to Fourier-Laplace integral in remaining  $d$  variables; stationary phase analysis of these integrals.
- Must specify a direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/|\mathbf{r}|$  for asymptotics.
- To each minimal point  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V}$  we associate a cone  $K(\mathbf{z})$  of directions. If  $\mathbf{z}$  is smooth,  $K$  is a single ray represented by  $\text{dir}(\mathbf{z})$ ; if  $\mathbf{z}$  is multiple,  $K$  is nonempty, spanned by  $K$ 's of smooth factors.
- If  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  is bounded away from  $K(\mathbf{z})$ , then  $|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}|$  decreases exponentially. We show that if  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  is in  $K(\mathbf{z})$ , then  $\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}$  is the right asymptotic order, and develop full asymptotic expansions, on a case-by-case basis.



## Outline of results

- Asymptotics in the direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  are determined by the geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$  near a finite set,  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , of **critical points**.

# Outline of results

- Asymptotics in the direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  are determined by the geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$  near a finite set,  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , of **critical points**.
- For computing asymptotics in direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ , we may restrict to a subset  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of **contributing points**.

# Outline of results

- Asymptotics in the direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  are determined by the geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$  near a finite set,  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , of **critical points**.
- For computing asymptotics in direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ , we may restrict to a subset  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of **contributing points**.
- We can determine  $\text{crit}$  and  $\text{contrib}$  by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.

## Outline of results

- Asymptotics in the direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  are determined by the geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$  near a finite set,  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , of **critical points**.
- For computing asymptotics in direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ , we may restrict to a subset  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of **contributing points**.
- We can determine  $\text{crit}$  and  $\text{contrib}$  by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.
- For each  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{contrib}$ , there is an asymptotic expansion formula( $\mathbf{z}$ ) for  $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ , computable in terms of the derivatives of  $G$  and  $H$  at  $\mathbf{z}$ .

# Outline of results

- Asymptotics in the direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  are determined by the geometry of  $\mathcal{V}$  near a finite set,  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , of **critical points**.
- For computing asymptotics in direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ , we may restrict to a subset  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of **contributing points**.
- We can determine  $\text{crit}$  and  $\text{contrib}$  by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.
- For each  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{contrib}$ , there is an asymptotic expansion formula( $\mathbf{z}$ ) for  $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ , computable in terms of the derivatives of  $G$  and  $H$  at  $\mathbf{z}$ .
- This yields

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \text{contrib}} \text{formula}(\mathbf{z}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{formula}(\mathbf{z})$  depends on the type of critical point.

## Generic shape of leading term of formula( $\mathbf{z}$ )

- (smooth/multiple point  $n < d$ )

$$C(\mathbf{z})G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-n)/2}$$

where  $C$  depends on the derivatives to order 2 of  $H$ ;

## Generic shape of leading term of formula( $\mathbf{z}$ )

- (smooth/multiple point  $n < d$ )

$$C(\mathbf{z})G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-n)/2}$$

where  $C$  depends on the derivatives to order 2 of  $H$ ;

- (multiple point,  $n = d$ )

$$(\det J)^{-1}G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian matrix  $(\partial H_i / \partial z_j)$ ;

## Generic shape of leading term of formula( $\mathbf{z}$ )

- (smooth/multiple point  $n < d$ )

$$C(\mathbf{z})G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-n)/2}$$

where  $C$  depends on the derivatives to order 2 of  $H$ ;

- (multiple point,  $n = d$ )

$$(\det J)^{-1}G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian matrix  $(\partial H_i / \partial z_j)$ ;

- (multiple point,  $n > d$ )

$$G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}P\left(\frac{r_1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{r_d}{z_d}\right),$$

$P$  a piecewise polynomial of degree  $n - d$ ;



## Generic shape of leading term of formula( $\mathbf{z}$ )

- (smooth/multiple point  $n < d$ )

$$C(\mathbf{z})G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-n)/2}$$

where  $C$  depends on the derivatives to order 2 of  $H$ ;

- (multiple point,  $n = d$ )

$$(\det J)^{-1}G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian matrix  $(\partial H_i / \partial z_j)$ ;

- (multiple point,  $n > d$ )

$$G(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}}P\left(\frac{r_1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{r_d}{z_d}\right),$$

$P$  a piecewise polynomial of degree  $n - d$ ;

- (bad point) Not yet done, hence the name.

## Specialization to dimension 2 — smooth points

- Suppose that  $H$  has a simple pole at  $P = (z, w)$  and is otherwise analytic in  $D(z, w)$ . Define

$$Q(z, w) = -A^2B - AB^2 - A^2z^2H_{zz} - B^2w^2H_{ww} + ABH_{zw}$$

where  $A = wH_w, B = zH_z$ , all computed at  $P$ . Then when  $r/s = B/A$ ,

$$a_{rs} \sim \frac{G(z, w)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{-A}{sQ(z, w)}}.$$

The apparent lack of symmetry is illusory, since  $A/s = B/r$ .

## Specialization to dimension 2 — multiple points

- Suppose that  $H$  has an isolated double pole at  $(z, w)$  but is otherwise analytic in  $D(z, w)$ .

## Specialization to dimension 2 — multiple points

- Suppose that  $H$  has an isolated double pole at  $(z, w)$  but is otherwise analytic in  $D(z, w)$ .
- Let  $\text{hess}$  denote the Hessian of  $H$ . Then for each compact subset  $K$  of the interior of  $D(z, w)$ , there is  $c > 0$  such that

$$a_{rs} = \left( \frac{G(z, w)}{\sqrt{-z^2 w^2 \det \text{hess}(z, w)}} + O(e^{-c}) \right) \text{ uniformly for } (r, s) \in K$$

## Specialization to dimension 2 — multiple points

- Suppose that  $H$  has an isolated double pole at  $(z, w)$  but is otherwise analytic in  $D(z, w)$ .
- Let  $\text{hess}$  denote the Hessian of  $H$ . Then for each compact subset  $K$  of the interior of  $D(z, w)$ , there is  $c > 0$  such that

$$a_{rs} = \left( \frac{G(z, w)}{\sqrt{-z^2 w^2 \det \text{hess}(z, w)}} + O(e^{-c}) \right) \text{ uniformly for } (r, s) \in K$$

- The uniformity breaks down near the walls of  $D$ , but we know the expansion on the boundary.

## The combinatorial case

In the **combinatorial case** ( $a_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{r}$ ), several nice results hold that are not generally true.

- For each  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  of interest, there is always a unique element  $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  lying in the positive orthant  $\mathcal{O}^d$ . All others lie on the same torus, and generically there are no others.

# The combinatorial case

In the **combinatorial case** ( $a_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{r}$ ), several nice results hold that are not generally true.

- For each  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  of interest, there is always a unique element  $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  lying in the positive orthant  $\mathcal{O}^d$ . All others lie on the same torus, and generically there are no others.
- $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  is precisely the element of  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  that is also a minimal point of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

# The combinatorial case

In the **combinatorial case** ( $a_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{r}$ ), several nice results hold that are not generally true.

- For each  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  of interest, there is always a unique element  $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  lying in the positive orthant  $\mathcal{O}^d$ . All others lie on the same torus, and generically there are no others.
- $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  is precisely the element of  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  that is also a minimal point of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- Thus we essentially only need to solve  $H(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{r}} \in K(\mathbf{z})$ , classify local geometry, and check for minimality.



# The combinatorial case

In the **combinatorial case** ( $a_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{r}$ ), several nice results hold that are not generally true.

- For each  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  of interest, there is always a unique element  $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  lying in the positive orthant  $\mathcal{O}^d$ . All others lie on the same torus, and generically there are no others.
- $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  is precisely the element of  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  that is also a minimal point of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- Thus we essentially only need to solve  $H(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{r}} \in K(\mathbf{z})$ , classify local geometry, and check for minimality.
- All steps but the last are straightforward polynomial algebra for rational  $F$ ; the last is harder but usually doable.

## The combinatorial case

In the **combinatorial case** ( $a_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{r}$ ), several nice results hold that are not generally true.

- For each  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  of interest, there is always a unique element  $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of  $\text{contrib}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  lying in the positive orthant  $\mathcal{O}^d$ . All others lie on the same torus, and generically there are no others.
- $\mathbf{z}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  is precisely the element of  $\text{crit}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  that is also a minimal point of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- Thus we essentially only need to solve  $H(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{r}} \in K(\mathbf{z})$ , classify local geometry, and check for minimality.
- All steps but the last are straightforward polynomial algebra for rational  $F$ ; the last is harder but usually doable.
- We can now use  $\text{formula}(\mathbf{z})$  to compute asymptotics in direction  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ . Provided the geometry does not change, the above expansion is locally uniform in  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ .

## Concrete example: Delannoy numbers

- Consider walks in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  from  $(0,0)$ , steps in  $(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)$ .  
Here  $F(x,y) = (1 - x - y - xy)^{-1}$ .

## Concrete example: Delannoy numbers

- Consider walks in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  from  $(0,0)$ , steps in  $(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)$ . Here  $F(x,y) = (1 - x - y - xy)^{-1}$ .
- Note  $\mathcal{V}$  is globally smooth so we only need to solve  $1 - x - y - xy = 0, x(1 + y)s = y(1 + x)r$ . There is a unique solution.

## Concrete example: Delannoy numbers

- Consider walks in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  from  $(0,0)$ , steps in  $(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)$ . Here  $F(x,y) = (1 - x - y - xy)^{-1}$ .
- Note  $\mathcal{V}$  is globally smooth so we only need to solve  $1 - x - y - xy = 0, x(1+y)s = y(1+x)r$ . There is a unique solution.
- Using these relations we obtain  $x, y$  in terms of  $r, s$ , then use smooth formula to give

$$a_{rs} \sim \left[ \frac{\Delta - s}{r} \right]^{-r} \left[ \frac{\Delta - r}{s} \right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi\Delta(r+s-\Delta)^2}}$$

where  $\Delta = \sqrt{r^2 + s^2}$ .

## Concrete example: Delannoy numbers

- Consider walks in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  from  $(0,0)$ , steps in  $(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)$ . Here  $F(x,y) = (1 - x - y - xy)^{-1}$ .
- Note  $\mathcal{V}$  is globally smooth so we only need to solve  $1 - x - y - xy = 0, x(1+y)s = y(1+x)r$ . There is a unique solution.
- Using these relations we obtain  $x, y$  in terms of  $r, s$ , then use smooth formula to give

$$a_{rs} \sim \left[ \frac{\Delta - s}{r} \right]^{-r} \left[ \frac{\Delta - r}{s} \right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi\Delta(r+s-\Delta)^2}}$$

where  $\Delta = \sqrt{r^2 + s^2}$ .

- Extracting the diagonal (“central Delannoy numbers”) is now easy:

$$a_{rr} \sim (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^r \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(3 - 2\sqrt{2})} r^{-1/2}.$$

# Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** is a triangular array  $a_{nk}$  with GF of the form

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} x^n y^k = \frac{\phi(x)}{1 - yv(x)},$$

$$v(0) = 0 \neq v'(0), \phi(0) \neq 0.$$

# Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** is a triangular array  $a_{nk}$  with GF of the form

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} x^n y^k = \frac{\phi(x)}{1 - yv(x)},$$

$$v(0) = 0 \neq v'(0), \phi(0) \neq 0.$$

- Equivalently  $a_{nk} = [x^n] \phi(x) v(x)^k$ .



# Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** is a triangular array  $a_{nk}$  with GF of the form

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} x^n y^k = \frac{\phi(x)}{1 - yv(x)},$$

$$v(0) = 0 \neq v'(0), \phi(0) \neq 0.$$

- Equivalently  $a_{nk} = [x^n] \phi(x) v(x)^k$ .
- Closely linked with Lagrange inversion:  $v(x) = xA(v(x))$  for some unique  $A$ . Lots of interesting identities.

# Riordan arrays

- A **Riordan array** is a triangular array  $a_{nk}$  with GF of the form

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk} x^n y^k = \frac{\phi(x)}{1 - yv(x)},$$

$$v(0) = 0 \neq v'(0), \phi(0) \neq 0.$$

- Equivalently  $a_{nk} = [x^n]\phi(x)v(x)^k$ .
- Closely linked with Lagrange inversion:  $v(x) = xA(v(x))$  for some unique  $A$ . Lots of interesting identities.
- Examples: number triangles (Pascal, Catalan, Motzkin, Schröder, ...); various 2-D lattice walks, generalized Dyck paths; ordered forests; many sequence enumeration problems; sums of IID random variables; Lagrange inversion; kernel method.

## Basic theorem on Riordan array asymptotics

Let  $(v, \phi)$  determine a Riordan array. Generically ( $v$  has radius of convergence  $R > 0$ ,  $v \geq 0$ ,  $v$  not periodic,  $\phi$  has radius of convergence at least  $R$ ), we have

$$a_{rs} \sim v(y)^r y^{-s} r^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(s/r) r^{-k} \quad (2)$$

where  $y$  is the unique positive real solution to  $\mu(v; y) = s/r$ .

- Here  $b_0 = \frac{\phi(y)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(v;y)}} \neq 0$ .
- The asymptotic approximation is uniform for  $s/r$  in a compact subset of  $(A, B)$ , where  $A$  is the order of  $v$  at 0 and  $B$  its order at infinity. We suspect it is usually uniform even on  $[A, B)$ .

## Multiple point example — Cayley graph diameters

- (J. Siran et al. 2004) Fix  $t$  disjoint pairs from  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Now choose  $S \subseteq n$ ,  $|S| = k$ , uniformly at random. What is  $\text{prob}(\text{no pair belongs to } S)$ ?

## Multiple point example — Cayley graph diameters

- (J. Siran et al. 2004) Fix  $t$  disjoint pairs from  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Now choose  $S \subseteq n$ ,  $|S| = k$ , uniformly at random. What is  $\text{prob}(\text{no pair belongs to } S)$ ?
- Relevant GF turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, z) &= \sum a(n, k, t) x^n y^k z^t \\ &= (1 - z(1 - x^2 y^2))^{-1} (1 - x(1 + y))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## Multiple point example — Cayley graph diameters

- (J. Siran et al. 2004) Fix  $t$  disjoint pairs from  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Now choose  $S \subseteq n$ ,  $|S| = k$ , uniformly at random. What is  $\text{prob}(\text{no pair belongs to } S)$ ?
- Relevant GF turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, z) &= \sum a(n, k, t) x^n y^k z^t \\ &= (1 - z(1 - x^2 y^2))^{-1} (1 - x(1 + y))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- Here  $a(n, k, t)$  can be negative for large  $t$ , so we are not in the combinatorial case. But crit has two elements, both multiple points with  $n = 2, d = 3$ . One point can be eliminated from contrib since it leads to negative asymptotics for a positive sequence. Answer is asymptotic to

$$C \binom{n}{k}^{-1} x^{-k} y^{-n} z^{-t} n^{-1/2}$$

# Fourier-Laplace integrals

We are quickly led via  $\mathbf{z} = e^{i\theta}$  to large- $\lambda$  analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\mathbf{x})} \psi(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x})$$

where:

- $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 0$  iff  $\bar{\mathbf{r}} \in K(\mathbf{z})$ .
- $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ ; the **phase**  $f$  is analytic, the **amplitude**  $\psi \in C^\infty$ .
- $D$  is an  $(n + d)$ -dimensional product of real tori, intervals and simplices;  $dV$  the volume element.

Difficulties in analysis: interplay between exponential and oscillatory decay, nonsmooth boundary of simplex.

## Low-dimensional examples of F-L integrals

- Typical smooth point example looks like

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{-\lambda(1+i)x^2} dx.$$

Isolated nondegenerate critical point, exponential decay

- Simplest double point example looks roughly like

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(x^2+2ixy)} dy dx.$$

Note  $\operatorname{Re} f = 0$  on  $x = 0$  so rely on oscillation for smallness.

- Multiple point with  $n = 2, d = 1$  gives integral like

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \int_{-x}^x e^{-\lambda(z^2+2izy)} dy dx dz.$$

Simplex corners now intrude, continuum of critical points.



## Sample reduction to F-L in simple case

Suppose  $(1, 1)$  is a smooth or multiple strictly minimal point. Here  $C_a$  is the circle of radius  $a$  centred at 0,  $R(z; s; \varepsilon) =$  residue sum in annulus,  $N$  a nbhd of 1.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{rs} &= (2\pi i)^{-2} \int_{C_1} z^{-r-1} \int_{C_{1-\varepsilon}} w^{-s-1} F(z, w) dw dz \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-2} \int_N z^{-r-1} \left[ \int_{C_{1+\varepsilon}} w^{-s-1} F(z, w) - 2\pi i R(z; s; \varepsilon) \right] dz \\ &\cong -(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_N z^{-r-1} R(z; s; \varepsilon) dz \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_N \exp(-ir\theta + \log(-R(z; s; \varepsilon))) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed we need a formula for the residue sum.

## Dealing with the residues

- In smooth case

$R(z; \varepsilon) = v(z)^s \operatorname{Res}(F/w)|_{w=1/v(z)} := v(z)^s \phi(z)$ . So above has the form

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_N \exp(-s(ir\theta/s - \log v(z) - \log(-\phi(z))) d\theta.$$

- In multiple case there are  $n + 1$  poles in the  $\varepsilon$ -annulus and we use the following nice lemma:

Let  $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mu$  be the normalized volume measure on  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{h(v_j)}{\prod_{r \neq j} (v_j - v_r)} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_n} h^{(n)}(\alpha \mathbf{v}) d\mu(\alpha).$$

## Comparing approaches for small singularities

- (GF-sequence methods) Treat  $F(z_1, \dots, z_d)$  as a sequence of  $d - 1$  dimensional GFs, use probability limit theorems. Pro: can use 1-D methods. Con: complete expansions hard to get, only works well for smooth singularities (below).
- (diagonal method) For each rational slope  $p/q$ , consider singularities of  $f(t) := F(z^q, t/z^p)$ . Pro: gives complete GF for each diagonal using 1-D methods. Con: only works in dimension 2; complexity of computation depends on slope; only rational slopes, so uniform asymptotics impossible.
- (genuinely multivariate methods) Try to use Cauchy residue approach, then convert to Fourier-Laplace integrals. Pro: uniform asymptotics, complete expansions, general approach. Con: geometry of singular set is hard.

# Open problems

- Complete analysis of F-L integrals in general case (large stationary phase set).
- How to find and classify minimal singularities algorithmically?  
Note: a minimal point is a Pareto optimum of the functions  $|z_1|, \dots, |z_{d+1}|$ .
- Computer algebra of multivariate asymptotic expansions.
- Patching together asymptotics at cone boundaries; uniformity, phase transitions.
- Compute expansions controlled by bad points.